Appendix A

Electric Vehicle Product Commission

September 27, 2023

1. ICE & EV Component Categorization

The team performed location analysis in year 1 project¹ where the part categories and subsystem components were defined as part of the inventory analysis for ICE and EV sectors. The definition for ICE categories and associated components is provided in Table 1.1 while the definition for EV categories and associated components is presented in Table 1.2. The category is the primary set while the components are the secondary set.

| Table 1.1: ICE | Category and | Components |
|----------------|--------------|------------|
|----------------|--------------|------------|

| Category | Components/Parts |
|------------------------------|---|
| Automotive, Motor | Automotive, Motor |
| Engine and Engine Components | Pistons, valves, cylinder sleeves, camshafts, fuel, and exhaust systems |
| Cooling Systems | Air conditioning, Blowers, Heater |
| Electrical Systems | Electrical and electronics components |
| Drivetrain | Transmission components and axles |
| Brakes | Disc, Rotors, pads |
| Body | Stamping Parts |
| Interior | Instrument panel parts, seat belts, radio, seats, air bags |
| Trim | Leather, Fabric |
| Tires & Wheels | Tires, Wheels, Air Pressure Sensors |
| Glass | Windshields, side glass, roof glass |

¹ https://www.iedc.in.gov/docs/default-source/iedc-assets/evp-commission_report-(3).pdf?Status=Master&sfvrsn=2402fd1_3

Table 1.2: EV Category and Components

| Category | Components |
|---------------------|--|
| Cooling Systems | Air conditioning, Blowers, Heater |
| Power systems | Energy storage, including battery R&D, manufacturing and assembly, and ultracapacitors |
| Motors | Motors or motor components |
| Wire Harnesses | Wire harnesses or wire materials |
| Braking | Braking system components |
| Electronic Controls | Power electronics and control equipment and software, including thermal management for battery packs |
| Recycling | Recycling of Battery components |
| Body | Stamping Parts |
| Charging systems | Home, business, Parking Lots, Apartment/condo complexes, Rest areas |
| Interior | Instrument panel parts, seat belts, radio, seats, air bags |
| Trim | Leather, Fabric |
| Tires & Wheels | Tires, Wheels, Air Pressure Sensors |
| Glass | Windshields, side glass, roof glass |

2. Risk Analysis

Our team performed risk analysis to understand and manage the risk posed to the manufacturing companies in Indiana. This analysis can be used to explore the opportunities created in the face of these risks. Seizing the opportunity presented by the EV transition will require strategic choices at the standalone company level, county-level, as well as the region-level. The team investigated the most imminent risk the auto manufacturing companies will face if they do nothing.

2.1. The" Do Nothing" Strategy or Inertia Risk

What if companies ignored the EV transition and kept making the parts they currently make with no change in strategy? We already know that the speed of transition to the EV is unknown. But regardless of the timing of the transition, a 'do nothing strategy' will result in fewer of the current ICE components being required by the industry. We use this reality to create a risk measure for individual firms aggregated in a county.

Inertia Risk =
$$1 - \frac{\sum_{i} EV Parts by company(i) in county(j)}{\sum_{i} Total Auto Parts by company(i) in county(j)}$$

This risk-measure treats companies that continue to deliver to the EV industry as having 0 risk, but companies whose parts get eliminated completely as having a risk level of 100%.

Another consequence of ICE suppliers doing nothing and thus potentially being disrupted, is the negative impact on the ICE OEMs' ability to continue to produce ICE vehicle even in smaller volumes. OEMs must monitor their supply chains and suppliers at all tiers to evaluate the risk and develop contingency plans to maintain critical parts suppliers.

Inertia risk provides the worst-case scenario where the auto companies refuse to transition at all to the EV sector and also where the world transitions completely away from the ICE vehicles. Even a little step towards the EV sector reduces the exposure to this risk.

3. Region-wise Risk Analysis

To provide a better insight about the auto manufacturing in Indiana, the approach to analyze based on Economic Growth Regions was used. All the 92 counties are divided into 11 regions based on economic growth², as shown in Figure 3.1. This categorization was defined by the Indiana Department of Workforce Development in 2016. Though certain patterns hold true for most regions, there is a considerable amount of variation in employment, wages, and growth³ among the 11 different regions.

² <u>https://nonprofit.indiana.edu/community-profiles/egr.html</u>

³ https://nonprofit.indiana.edu/doc/publications/msa-egr/introduction-egr.pdf



Economic Growth Regions

Figure 3.1: Economic Growth Regions in Indiana

Table 3.1 shows the different counties that belong to the individual Economic Growth Regions.

Table 3.1: List of Counties in each Economic Growth Region

| Regions | Counties |
|-----------------------------|---|
| Region 1 – Northwest IN | Jasper, Lake, La Porte, Newton, Porter, Pulaski, Starke |
| Region 2 – North Central IN | Elkhart, Fulton, Kosciusko, Marshall, St. Joseph |
| | Adams, Allen, DeKalb, Grant, Huntington, LaGrange, |
| Region 3 – Northeast IN | Noble, Steuben, Wabash, Wells, Whitley |
| | Benton, Carroll, Cass, Clinton, Fountain, Howard, |
| Region 4 – Northwest | Miami, Montgomery, Tippecanoe, Tipton, Warren, |
| Central IN | White |
| | Boone, Hamilton, Hancock, Hendricks, Johnson, |
| Region 5 – Central IN | Madison, Marion, Morgan, Shelby |
| | Blackford, Delaware, Fayette, Henry, Jay, Randolph, |
| Region 6 – East Central IN | Rush, Union, Wayne |
| Region 7 – West Central IN | Clay, Parke, Putnam, Sullivan, Vermillion, Vigo |
| | Brown, Daviess, Greene, Lawrence, Martin, Monroe, |
| Region 8 – South Central IN | Orange, Owen |
| Region 9 – Southeast | Bartholomew, Dearborn, Decatur, Franklin, Jackson, |
| Central IN | Jefferson, Jennings, Ohio, Ripley, Switzerland |
| Region 10 – Southeast IN | Clark, Crawford, Floyd, Harrison, Scott, Washington |
| | Dubois, Gibson, Knox, Perry, Pike, Posey, Spencer, |
| Region 11 – Southwest IN | Vanderburgh, Warrick |

There are many other region/cluster classification systems that the team explored. Some of them are Michael Porter's clusters, Interstate Regions, Workforce Regions, and IARC cluster. Out of the many, the team selected Economic Growth Regions since they align better with the goal of the project – understanding the growth of EV sector in automotive industry.

| Region | Total number of Companies | Total number of Employees | Average Inertia Risk |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Region 1 (Northwest IN) | 583 | 24,828 | 0.611 |
| Region 2 (North Central IN) | 1,070 | 42,396 | 0.644 |
| Region 3 (Northeast IN) | 909 | 50,053 | 0.661 |
| Region 4 (Northwest Central IN) | 339 | 24,606 | 0.675 |
| Region 5 (Central IN) | 1,493 | 46,600 | 0.689 |
| Region 6 (East Central IN) | 291 | 11,904 | 0.638 |
| Region 7 (West Central IN) | 124 | 6,247 | 0.643 |
| Region 8 (South Central IN) | 140 | 2,845 | 0.638 |
| Region 9 (Southeast Central IN) | 319 | 28,000 | 0.622 |
| Region 10 (Southeast IN) | 174 | 6,047 | 0.626 |
| Region 11 (Southwest IN) | 430 | 23,449 | 0.585 |

The above table provides the total count of companies, total count of employees, and the average inertia risk for each EGR. It is evident that the average inertia risk across all regions is comparable, being in the range of 0.585 to 0.689.

The Central IN region faces the highest average inertia risk while also contributing to highest number of manufacturing companies and second highest number of employees. While the Southwest IN region faces the lowest average inertia risk. The region contributes fairly to the count of companies and count of employees involved in ICE and EV auto manufacturing.

Considering the top 3 regions (Central IN, Northwest Central IN, and Northeast IN) with the highest average inertia risk (more than 0.65), there are 32 counties affected by this. This contributes to almost 50% of ICE and EV auto manufacturing employees (45%) and companies (47%).

According to the analysis, this means that if the auto companies in the three regions do nothing to transition from ICE to EV sector, they are at a more than 65% risk of being disrupted.

County-level Risk

We analyze some counties in the regions in depth. Benton County in Northwest Central Indiana, Sullivan County in West Central Indiana, and Vermillion County in West Central Indiana all have a total of less than 1,000 employees engaged in automotive manufacturing but all have a high inertia risk – greater than 75%. Decatur County in Southeast Central Indiana and Posey County in Southwest Indiana employ between 1,000 to 5,000 employees and have a moderate to low inertia risk – 37 to 59 percent. While Noble County in Northeast Indiana and Vanderburgh County in Southwest Indiana employ more than 5,000 employees and less than 10,500 employees. These counties have a moderate to high inertia risk – 46 to 71 percent. Similarly, different counties have different levels of inertia risk and have a corresponding impact on the number of companies engaged in ICE auto manufacturing and the number of employees associated with these companies. In depth, county-level analysis for all counties in Indiana will be developed and presented in the upcoming (2024) report.

| Region | County | Number of Companies | Number of Employees | Inertia Risk |
|----------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Northwest Central IN | Benton | 10 | 156 | 0.78 |
| Southeast Central IN | Decatur | 26 | 3,270 | 0.59 |
| Northeast IN | Noble | 92 | 8,384 | 0.71 |
| Southwest IN | Posey | 16 | 1,910 | 0.37 |
| West Central IN | Sullivan | 17 | 144 | 0.81 |
| Southwest IN | Vanderburgh | 265 | 10,423 | 0.46 |
| West Central IN | Vermillion | 5 | 354 | 0.75 |



Electric Vehicle Product Commission